

ಚಿತ್ರಶೈನಿ ಡಿ: ಶ್ರಿಉಣಮಿ ಪೆಂಟೆಯಲುಚಣಿನು

Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia Chambres extraordinaires au sein des tribunaux cambodgiens

ខាតិ សាសនា ព្រះមហាក្សត្រ Kingdom of Cambodia

Kingdom of Cambodia Nation Religion King

ក្រះរាទាំសាខេទ្រកម្ពុទាំ

Royaume du Cambodge Nation Religion Roi

સ**ૅ**ૡ૽૽ૡઙૢૹ૽ૢ૾ૢૢૢૢૡ૽૽ૼૡ૾ૺૺૺ૾

Pre-Trial Chamber Chambre Préliminaire

No:-31-

Case File N° 002/14-12-2009-ECCC/PTC (08)

Before:

Judge PRAK Kimsan, President

Judge Rowan DOWNING

Judge NEY Thol

Judge Katinka LAHUIS Judge HUOT Vuthy

Date:

15 July 2011

PUBLIC (REDACTED VERSION)

DECISION ON IENG SARY'S REQUEST FOR DISCLOSURE TO THE DEFENCE SUPPORT SECTION OF ALL PTC 08 DOCUMENTS

Office of the Co-Investigating Judges

Accused

YOU Bunleng Siegfried BLUNK **IENG Sary**

Co-Prosecutors

Co-Lawyers for the Accused

CHEA Leang Andrew CAYLEY ANG Udom

Michael G. KARNAVAS

Dale Lysak

ឯគសារខេឹម	
ORIGINAL DOCUMENT/DOCUMENT ORIGIN	JAL
ig is gi seu (Date of receipt/date de reception)	:
เยาน (Time/Heure) :	
មន្ត្រីទទួលបន្ទុកសំណុំរឿង /Case File Officer/L'agent o Ratama K	chargé



THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER of the Extraordinary Chambers in the Courts of Cambodia ("ECCC") is seized of "Ieng Sary's Request for Disclosure to the Derence Support Section of all PTC 08 Documents" filed by the Co-Lawyers for Ieng Sary on 26 April 2011 (the "Request"). ¹

- 1. By their Request, the Co-Lawyers ask the Pre-Trial Chamber to grant the Defence Support Section of the ECCC (the "DSS") access to all material in Case File 008, most of which is currently classified as "Strictly Confidential". They argue that this is made necessary as a result of the Trial Chamber's recent decision on Ieng Sary's Motion for a Hearing on the Conduct of the Judicial Investigation, whereby the Trial Chamber dismissed the motion and referred the "matter for review to the Defence Support Section pursuant to its power to refuse part payment for work claimed where the work carried out is not 'necessary and reasonable' (Article 10, Guide to the ECC [sic] Legal Aid Assistance scheme) and which, pursuant to Rule 11(2)(h), has the responsibility to 'monitor and assess the fulfilment of all contracts [with defence lawyers] and authorize corresponding remuneration in accordance with Defence Support Section administrative regulations.""²
- 2. The Respondent in this case, within the time limit set out in the Internal Rules.
- 3. The Pre-Trial Chamber recalls that the documents in the case PTC08 have been, upon filing, classified as "Stricly Confidential" by the Pre-Trial Chamber. As such, they were and remain "open only to the Judges and such other persons, including court staff who require access in the discharge of their duties, expressly given access by the Court" in accordance with the Practice Direction on Classification and Management of Case-Related Information ("Practice Direction on Classification"). DSS staff members have thus far not been granted access to this case.

³ Practice Direction on Classification and Management of Case-Related Information, 004/2009, adoted on 5 June 2009, Article 2(d)(ii).

PTC 08

¹ leng Sary's Request for Disclosure to the Derence Support Section of all PTC 08 Documents, 26 April 2011, Doc. No. 29

² Request, para. 2, referring to Decision on Ieng Sary's Motion for a Hearing on the Conduct of the Judicial Investigation, 8 April 2011, E71/1 (the "Trial Chamber's Decision").

- 4. In its Decision on leng Sary's Request to reclassify all PTC 08 documents as public, the Pre-Trial Chamber decided that the Case PTC 08 shall remain "Strictly Confidential". Based on the procedural rules established at the international level, it emphasised the need to protect the reputation of the individual subject to allegations of interference with the administration of justice in this case where no proceedings were instigated against the concerned individual due to the fact that after an investigation had been conducted, the allegations were found to be without merit.⁴
- 5. The Chamber notes that the Co-Lawyers do not explain how the documents in Case PTC 08 would in any way be relevant to the determination of the matter referred to the DSS by the Trial Chamber nor do they argue that the Trial Chamber's Decision was based on proceedings filed before the Pre-Trial Chamber. In any event, the Trial Chamber, having had no access to the Case PTC 08,⁵ could not have based its decision on the material contained therein. Furthermore, the Trial Chamber would have no jurisdiction to determine that proceedings filed before the Pre-Trial Chamber were not necessary and/or reasonable.
- 6. The Pre-Trial Chamber considers that it is still necessary to protect the interests of the Respondent in this case as referred to and explained in its previous decision on a similar request to reclassify the documents. Considering that these are not outweight by the interests now raised by the Co-Lawyers, the Pre-Trial Chamber finds that the documents in Case PTC 08 shall remain strictly confidential and only accessible to those who have expressly been given access by the Chamber.

Decision on Co-Lawyers' Request to give DSS access to the Case PTC 08

⁴ Decision on Ieng Sary's Request to reclassify all PTC 08 documents as public, 3 May 2011, Doc. no. 30, paras 9-12.

See *inter alia* Memorandum regarding classification before the Trial Chamber of material in relation to PTC08, sent by the President of the Trial Chamber to the Judges of the Pre-Trial Chambers, 8 August 2011, E76 and the response to it by the President of the Pre-Trial Chamber, 20 April 2011, PTC/M/02. It is however noted that the Fourth decision on leng Sary's request for investigation under internal rule 35 into the actions of of the office of the Co-Prosecutors relating to ex-parte communication with the international component of the OCIJ, 7 March 2011, Doc. no. 23, had been notified to the Trial Chamber as it was considered necessary to inform it of the outcome of these proceedings considering the matters with which it was seized at that times.

002/14-12-2009-ECCC/PTC (08) No:-31 -

THEREFORE THE PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER DECIDES UNANIMOUSLY

Dismiss the Co-Lawyers' Request.

PhnomPenh, 15 July 2011 4

ent of the Bre-Trial Chamber

PRAK KIMSAN